

Space-Based Digital Equity: A Strategic Imperative

Annie Beckman

American Military University

New York Law School

beckmana@umich.edu

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-0810-6604>

ABSTRACT

The rapid proliferation of commercial satellites marks a new space age that offers a revolutionary technological capability to narrow the digital divide. However, the potential for digital transformation faces a critical governance vacuum between U.S. space policy and digital equity initiatives, creating a framework ill-equipped to ensure space-based services provide a strategic advantage across the nation's public, private and civic sectors. This paper employs a framework that demonstrates how an equitable approach to space-based connectivity yields three interconnected strategic advantages in economic competition, national resilience, and geopolitical influence. Reframing space-based digital equity as a core strategic imperative transforms innovative technology into a catalyst for comprehensive national power, ensuring the space domain's advantages translate to shared opportunity and prosperity.

Keywords: space policy, digital equity, space governance, commercial space, strategic competition

Equidad digital basada en el espacio: un imperativo estratégico

RESUMEN

La rápida proliferación de satélites comerciales marca una nueva era espacial que ofrece una capacidad tecnológica revolucionaria para reducir la brecha digital. Sin embargo, el potencial de transformación digital se enfrenta a un vacío crucial de gobernanza entre la política espacial estadounidense y las iniciativas de equidad digital, lo que crea un marco deficiente para garantizar que los servicios espaciales proporcionen una ventaja estratégica en los sectores público, privado y cívico del país. Este documento emplea un marco que demuestra cómo un enfoque equitativo para la conecti-

vidad espacial genera tres ventajas estratégicas interconectadas en la competencia económica, la resiliencia nacional y la influencia geopolítica. Replantear la equidad digital espacial como un imperativo estratégico fundamental transforma la tecnología innovadora en un catalizador para un poder nacional integral, garantizando que las ventajas del ámbito espacial se traduzcan en oportunidades y prosperidad compartidas.

Palabras clave: Política espacial, equidad digital, gobernanza espacial, espacio comercial, competencia estratégica

基于太空的数字公平：一项战略要务

摘要

商业卫星的迅速普及标志着太空新时代的到来，它为缩小数字鸿沟提供了革命性的技术能力。然而，数字化转型的潜力面临着美国太空政策与数字公平倡议之间的严重治理真空，催生的框架难以确保天基服务能够为国家公共、私营和公民社会部门带来战略优势。本文使用一项框架，证明了如何通过公平的天基互联方式，在经济竞争、国家复原力和地缘政治影响力这三个相互关联的战略优势方面取得进展。将天基数字公平重新定义为一项核心战略要务，能够将创新技术转化为提升国家综合实力的催化剂，确保太空领域的优势能够转化为共享的机遇和繁荣。

关键词：太空政策，数字公平，太空治理，商业太空，战略竞争

Introduction

The unprecedented commercialization of the cosmos and the persistent socio-technical challenge of the digital divide define a significant convergence of U.S. policy and strategy. While the technological capability to provide diverse capabilities with high-speed connectivity is inevitable, governance frameworks necessary to implement this technology for

equitable outcomes fail to maintain pace with the space sector's rapid proliferation. This isolated evolution of the space domain and digital equity represents a significant strategic gap and area of opportunity. The United States must reframe space-based digital equity not as a peripheral social objective, but as a core strategic imperative critical to promoting economic competition, reinforcing security, and expanding geopolitical influence.

A New Space Age

The modern space domain is characterized by a fundamental shift from government-led missions to commercially driven initiatives. The rapid deployment of proliferated low Earth orbit satellite mega-constellations (Zhang et al., 2022) highlights the growing role of the private sector within the industry, led by commercial actors such as SpaceX's Starlink, Amazon's Project Kuiper, and Eutelsat's OneWeb (Anton, 2024). These technologies are designed to deliver global high-speed, low latency connection, transforming the domain from a remote frontier to an essential layer of digital infrastructure that enables next generation technologies for broadband connection, precision agriculture, remote healthcare, and the Internet of Things (Iska et al., 2022). This commercialization fundamentally alters the nature of space governance, demanding a policy framework that regulates private actors providing what have become essential public services.

The Growing Digital Divide

While the physical and economic limitations of terrestrial connection can be mitigated by the rapid advancement in space-based technologies and services, the digital equity gap remains pervasive. The digital divide encompasses persistent barriers in access, affordability, digital literacy, and quality of service that disproportionately affect rural and low-income communities (Sanders & Scanlon, 2021). This systemic inequity

perpetuates a cycle of disadvantage that hinders the nation's progress in education, economic opportunity, and civic participation. This peril is amplified by the bifurcated governance vacuum between U.S. space policy and domestic digital equity policy that inherently fails to guarantee the new space age also enables public interest (Fey & Peeters, 2021). Without a deliberate strategy for integrating digital equity into space governance architecture, the distribution of benefits of space technologies and services threatens to exacerbate the digital divide, leaving vulnerable communities even further behind.

The Strategic Advantage

Reframing digital equity as a powerful strategic instrument for advancing national objectives in the space domain necessitates a commitment to affordable and reliable space-based connectivity for all communities. An equitable domestic framework creates a triad of interconnected advantages that serve as a catalyst for innovation, promoting a resilient security posture and fostering a compelling democratic model at the international stage.

Economic Competition

A national space policy mandating space-based digital equity fosters a competitive economic environment that encourages market competition. Leveraging spectrum management (Calabrese & Salamon, 2021) and maintaining fair and open

access standards actively prevents industry monopolization as multiple providers are incentivized to innovate on quality of service, price, and technology proliferation (Bailey & Nyabola, 2021). This results in a more robust, agile, and advanced commercial space sector that provides a significant competitive advantage over centralized, state-driven approaches that inhibit the flexibility required for rapid innovation (Weinzierl, 2018). By establishing this economic outlook as a global standard, the U.S. enables downstream markets for the technology sector in data processing and digital services, extending economic influence beyond the space industry.

National Resilience

The integration of universal space-based connectivity is vital to a nation's security posture. While critical networks remain dependent on terrestrial infrastructure (Giannopoulos et al., 2022), these concentrated areas are vulnerable to physical damage, sabotage, cyber-attacks, and natural disasters (Gerstein & Leidy, 2025). Investing in a space-based connectivity layer provides a redundant system that mitigates ground-based single points of failure. However, nationwide resilience requires the equitable deployment of and access to systems across the entire geographic and demographic landscape to safeguard continuity of government, emergency response, economy, and digital services (Gur & Kulesza, 2024).

Geopolitical Influence

As major space powers vie for strategic leverage in a global context, advancing a digitally inclusive model offers an actionable roadmap towards economic modernization and digital freedom that counters an authoritarian, state-controlled approach to the space domain (Tepfer, 2023). This democratic model—grounded in open market principles, technological neutrality, and citizen science—allows the U.S. to not just offer cutting edge technologies but also communicate international norms (Cross & Pekkanen, 2023) for space governance that enhance multilateral collaboration and strategic partnerships, operationalizing the foundational principles of the Outer Space Treaty (The United Nations, 1967) for the digital era.

Operationalization

Translating the strategic imperative of digital equity into actionable space policy requires a coordinated, multi-stakeholder implementation approach that overcomes the existing institutional obstacles. This approach must be led by governmental actors as policymakers must formally integrate digital equity goals into documents to provide clear strategic directives—agencies and regulatory bodies must then promulgate these regulations that promote socioeconomic resilience. Furthermore, the commercial space sector must be incentivized to view universal access not as a regulatory limitation, but as a long-term market oppor-

tunity that advances the public good. A public-private partnership model where the government's purchasing power creates a stable market foundation for underserved communities can enable the private sector's efficiency and innovation to accelerate deployment (Rausser et al., 2023) of essential digital services for all Americans. The articulation and aggregation of critical needs across the nation heavily rely on community actors' expertise and advocacy (Dennis, 2024; Entradas, 2016), creating a demand signal that aligns public investment and private innovation with the strategic imperative of bridging the digital divide (Nuño, 2017).

Conclusion

The strategic objectives of economic competition, national resilience, and geopolitical influence are essential to U.S. leadership. Space-based digital equity serves as the critical nexus that transforms revolutionary technology into an enduring source of national power and projection. Adopting digital equity as a core principle offers a compelling vision for an open digital future in the new space age that ensures American leadership and empowers public, private, and civic opportunity in orbit and on Earth.

References

- Anton, W. (2024). Satellite communication bridging the digital divide. *Journal of Telecommunication System and Management*, 13(03). <https://www.hilarispublisher.com/openaccess/satellite-communication-bridging-the-digital-divide-108845.html>
- Bailey, L., & Nyabola, N. (2021). *Digital equity as an enabling platform for equality and inclusion*. New York University Center on International Cooperation. https://cic.nyu.edu/wp/content/uploads/1662/65/cic_pathfinders_digital_equity_as_an_enabling_platform_june_2021.pdf
- Calabrese, M., & Salamon, L. (2021). *How to close America's digital equity gaps: Toward a new digital futures foundation*. New America Open Technology Institute. https://newamericadotorg.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/Digital_Futures_Foundation_FINAL.pdf
- Cross, M.K.D., & Pekkanen, S.M. (2023). Introduction. Space diplomacy: The final frontier for theory and practice. *The Hague Journal of Diplomacy*, 18(203), 193–217. <https://doi.org/10.1163/1871191x-bja10152>

Dennis, C. (2024). A State-Centered Approach to Digital Equity. *Seton Hall Law Review*, 54(5), 1479–1508. <https://doi.org/10.60095/HANU3178>

Entradas, M. (2016). What is the public's role in 'space' policymaking? Images of the public by practitioners of 'space' communication in the United Kingdom. *Public Understanding of Science*, 25(5), 603–611. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662515579838>

Fey, J., & Peeters, W. (2021). *The changing economy of space application and exploration: A catalyst for international cooperation?* The London School of Economics and Political Science. <https://www.lse.ac.uk/ideas/Assets/Documents/Expert-Analysis/2024-ExpertAnalysis-SpacePolicy-FeyPeetersFINAL03.pdf>

Gerstein, D. M., & Leidy, E. N. (2025). *Emerging technology and risk analysis: The space domain and critical infrastructure*. Rand Corporation. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RRA2875-1.html

Giannopapa, C., Staveris-Poykalas, A., & Metallinos, S. (2022). Space as an enabler for sustainable digital transformation: The new space race and benefits for newcomers. *Acta Astronautica*, 198, 728–732. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2022.06.005>

Gur, B. A., & Kulesza, J. (2024). Equitable access to satellite broadband services: Challenges and opportunities for developing countries. *Telecommunications Policy*, 48(5), 102731. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2024.102731>

Isca, A., Alagha, N., Andreotti, R., & Andrenacci, M. (2022). Recent advances in design and implementation of satellite gateways for massive uncoordinated access networks. *Sensors*, 22(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s22020565>

Nuño, B. S.-A. (2017). *Space could be the final frontier for the world's digital divide*. World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforumNun.org/stories/2017/10/space-satellites-digital-connectivity/>

Rausser, G., Choi, E., & Bayen, A. (2023). Public–private partnerships in fostering outer space innovations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(43), Article e2222013120. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2222013120>

Sanders, C. K., & Scanlon, E. (2021). The digital divide is a human rights issue: Advancing social inclusion through social work advocacy. *Journal of Human Rights and Social Work*, 6(2), 130–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41134-020-00147-9>

Tepper, E. (2023). Space commercialization is closing the digital divide, but expanding global economic inequality. *Georgetown Journal of International Affairs*,

24(1), 55–64. <https://doi.org/10.1353/gia.2023.a897701>

The United Nations. (1967). *Treaty on principles governing the activities of States in the exploration and use of outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies*. The United Nations. <https://www.unoosa.org/oosa/en/ourwork/spacelaw/treaties/outerspacetreaty.html>

Weinzeirl, M. (2018). Space, the final economic frontier. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 32(2), pp. 173–192. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.32.2.173>

Zhang, J., Cai, Y., Xue, C., Xue, Z., Cai, H. LEO mega constellations: Review of development, impact, surveillance, and governance. *Space Science and Technology*, 2022, Article 9865174. <https://doi.org/10.34133/2022/9865174>